

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/787,234 | 02/27/2004 | In-Kwang Yu | 6192.0359.US | 4801 |
| 23345 | 7590 02/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MCGUIREWOODS, LLP | | | CHEN, WEN YING PATTY | |
| SUITE 1800 | BEVD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | 2871 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/787,234 | YU, IN-KWANG | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Wen-Ying P. Chen | 2871 | | |

| | Wen-Ying P. Chen | 2871 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ring replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | <u> </u> | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-13 and 16-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims 7 and 20 contain new limitations which require further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Hasegawa (US 6414741) does not disclose an inspection step after soldering of the wire board to the TCP. However, Hasegawa discloses in Column 10 lines 40-65 and Column 12 lines 6-11 that an inspection is performed prior to the forming of the the light-control tape, which is to be pasted after the soldering step of the wiring board, by means of using the alignment marks used for the soldering of the wiring board, wherein the alignment marks are part of the inspection unit.

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER